

EXHIBIT 3



Dated: May 15, 2025

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

MINUTE ENTRY ORDER

Brenda K. Martin
Brenda K. Martin, Bankruptcy Judge

Hearing Information:

Bankruptcy Judge:	The Honorable Brenda K. Martin
Case Number:	2:09-bk-12121-BKM 2:17-bk-09863-BKM
Debtor(s):	DAVID CAMPBELL DAVID LEONARD CAMPBELL
Chapter:	7
Date and Time:	05/14/2025 1:30 PM
Location(s):	VIDEO/701
Courtroom Clerk:	Jennifer Lowry
Electronic Court Recording Operator:	Leticia Romero

Matter(s):

CONTINUED HEARING ON MOTION FOR AN ORDER TO STAY UNDERLYING TORT CLAIM

Appearances:

WARREN J. STAPLETON, ATTORNEY FOR DAVID CAMPBELL
ANTHONY PUSATERI, ATTORNEY FOR BIG BROTHERS BIG SISTERS OF CENTRAL ARIZONA
JOHN O'NEAL, ATTORNEY FOR BIG BROTHERS BIG SISTERS OF CENTRAL ARIZONA
DAWN MAGUIRE, ATTORNEY FOR TRUSTEE MAUREEN GAUGHAN
ROBERT PASTOR, SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR TRUSTEE GAUGHAN
MICHAEL DAOUDI, SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR TRUSTEE GAUGHAN

Proceedings:

COURT: THE COURT NOTES THAT AT THE LAST HEARING MR. CAMPBELL WAS DIRECTED TO APPEAR AT TODAY'S HEARING, HOWEVER THE COURT WAS NOT ANTICIPATING THAT MR. CAMPBELL WAS COMING TO BE A WITNESS OR TO BE QUESTIONED UNDER OATH. THE COURT DIRECTED HIM TO APPEAR AND ADVISE AS TO WHETHER HE WAS ASSERTING ANY DIRECT OWNERSHIP IN THE STATE COURT CLAIMS AGAINST BBBS THAT THE TRUSTEE IS SEEKING TO ADMINISTER. IF SO, THE COURT INTENDED TO SET A BREIFING SCHEDULE. NOW THAT THE DEBTOR IS REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL IS SEEMS THE ONLY THING NEEDED IS FOR MR. STAPLETON TO AVOW THAT HIS CLIENT IS NOT ASSERTING A RIGHT DIRECTLY TO ANY OF THESE CLAIMS AND IS AGREEING AT THIS JUNCTURE THAT THE ESTATE OWNS THE CLAIMS.

Mr. Stapleton responds that the debtor understands that the claim belongs to the estate and the Trustee controls the claim. Mr. Campbell is not going to undo it. Mr. Stapleton states that there is no need for additional discovery.

Mr. O'Neal expresses his concerns on how this matter is proceeding. The debtor was and is represented in the Superior Court case by Mr. Pastor and Mr. Daoudi's law firm. Both of those lawyers have appeared in this case as counsel for the debtor.

COURT: THE COURT QUESTIONS IF IT WOULD ALLEVIATE MR. O'NEAL'S CONCERNS TO SET A DEADLINE BY WHICH THE DEBTOR COULD CONTEST THE ESTATE'S OWNERSHIP RIGHTS.

Mr. O'Neal responds that it would go a long way in curing the issues, if it was accompanied by a declaration by Mr. Campbell in which he states that he agrees and has been advised by independent counsel.

Mr. Stapleton states that the problem being raised is not a problem at this point. He presents his client's position and argues that the conflict issue is another avenue to delay adjudication on the merits.

COURT: THE COURT QUESTIONS IF MR. CAMPBELL WILL, ON THE RECORD, WAIVE ANY ARGUMENTS THAT THESE CLAIMS BELONG TO HIM AND NOT THE ESTATE.

Mr. Stapleton asks for a brief recess to confer with his client.

THE COURT TAKES A BRIEF RECESS

Mr. Stapleton states that the debtor agrees that this claim is owned by the estate and waives any arguments that the claim belongs to him.

COURT: BASED ON THE DEBTOR WAIVING HIS CLAIM OF OWNERSHIP, THE COURT WILL NOT ESTABLISH A DEADLINE FOR THE FILING OF A MOTION TO DETERMINE OWNERSHIP OF THE STATE COURT CLAIMS.

Mr. O'Neal questions if the automatic stay has been lifted and asks that the Court enter an order that says that to the extent that the automatic stay was or is in place, with respect to the Superior Court action, it is now lifted without prejudice to the estate's rights.

COURT: THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY HAS NOT BEEN AND IS NOT CURRENTLY IN PLACE, AS THE CASE WAS REOPENED TO PURSUE A CAUSE OF ACTION THAT DOES NOT IMPLICATE THE AUTOMATIC STAY OF SECTION 362.